

## OFFICER DECISION RECORD

Decision Ref. No:

2016A&C354Fencing-  
Manvers Road, Mexborough

### Box 1

**DIRECTORATE:** Adults Health and  
Wellbeing

**DATE:** 09/11/16

**Contact Name:** Glyn Smith

**Tel. No:** 734130

**Subject Matter:** New Fence for Manvers Road Park Mexborough

### Box 2

#### DECISION TAKEN:

To use £6,000.28 of Section 106 monies to remove existing timber fence and replace with new weldmesh fencing at Manvers road park, Mexborough, using section 106 monies from Manvers Road, Mexborough (07/03583/FULM).

### Box 3

#### REASON FOR THE DECISION:

The existing Fence is constructed of wooden posts with metal palings; many of the posts have rotted below ground level, leaving the fence in a dilapidated state and one which is considered a health and safety concern.

The fence line forms the boundary of the play park and runs alongside a busy road, the proposal is to remove the existing fence and install 212 linear metres of 1.8m high steel weldmesh fencing.

Public Buildings Maintenance (PBM) do not have a fencing team who would be able to carry out these works, therefore PBM would have gone to a contractor to obtain the quotes and charged an admin fee, therefore for best value for money, three quotes were obtained externally using the portal and the approved contractors on the system.

Darfen Durafencing will complete the works and the cost of materials and installation is £6,000.28. This price also includes the takedown and removal of the existing fence.

The land is owned by DMBC.

The local ward members are keen to see this work undertaken to address the health and safety issues in this area. The park is very popular with local people of all age groups.

**Box 4****OPTIONS CONSIDERED & REASONS FOR RECOMMENDED OPTION:**

**If other options were considered, please specify and give reasons for recommended option**

- 1) To do nothing will result in not utilising available Section 106 money and the park will remain the same.
- 2) Reduce the specification of the fence which will lower the cost of the proposal.
- 3) The preferred option is to utilise the Section 106 money to improve the park and make the area safer for park users.

**Box 5****LEGAL IMPLICATIONS:**

The commuted sum paid in this instance was paid by the developer in anticipation of the signing of a section 106 agreement required under the conditions attached to the relevant planning permission and which required the payment of a commuted sum in lieu of public open space. There was therefore no section 106 agreement which prescribes where and for what the commuted sum should be payable but the provision of the fence enhances and improves public open space.

**Name:** Karen Winnard    **Signature:** By email    **Date:** 17/11/16  
**Signature of Assistant Director of Legal and Democratic Services (or representative)**

**Box 6****FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:****Section 106****(07/03583/FULM) Manvers Road, Mexborough**

There is currently an uncommitted balance of £26,757.67 on the above planning reference, a commuted sum in relation to S106 monies, Manvers Road Park, Mexborough. This project is seeking to use £6,000.28 of the available balance leaving an uncommitted balance on the commuted sum of £20,757.39 for other projects.

This expenditure will be incurred in revenue. The balance is currently held as capital but there is sufficient flexibility in the agreement to convert this to be used as revenue.

**Name:** Dawn Fisher

**Signature:** 

**SFM Regen & Enviro** **Date:** 21/11/16

**Signature of Assistant Director of Finance & Performance  
(or representative)**

**Box 7**

**HUMAN RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:**

There are no Human Resources Implications

**Name:** Kelly Gunn

**Signature:** By Email

**Date:** 22/11/16

**Signature of Assistant Director of Human Resources and Communications(or  
representative)**

**Box 8**

**PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS:**

The value of the proposed works falls within the Councils Contract Procedure Rules for obtaining 3 written quotations. Appropriate checks will need to be carried out of the proposed firm to ensure they have suitable insurances are in place and necessary H&S accreditations, such CHAS / SSIP.

The service area will be required to ensure a suitable purchase order is raised as well as engaging project management of the installation works to ensure they are executed to the correct standards.

**Name:** S Duffield

**Signature:** 

**Date:** 22/11/16

**Signature of Assistant Director of Finance & Performance  
(or representative)**

**Box 9**

**ICT IMPLICATIONS:**

There are no ICT implications associated with this decision.

**Name:** Peter Ward (ICT Strategy Programme Manager)

**Signature:** 

**Date:** 21/11/2016

**Signature of Assistant Director of Customers, Digital & ICT  
(or representative)**

**Box 10**

**ASSET IMPLICATIONS:**

There are no implications arising from the recommendations of this report that impact on the use of DMBC assets beyond the asset subject to this report which will be improved as a consequence.

**Name:** Gillian Fairbrother (Assets Manager, Project Co-ordinator)

**Signature:** By email

**Date:** 21/11/16

**Box 11**

**RISK IMPLICATIONS:**

**To be completed by the report author**

There are no significant risk implications associated with this project.

**(Explain the impact of not taking this decision and in the case of capital schemes, any risks associated with the delivery of the project)**

**Box 12**

**EQUALITY IMPLICATIONS:**

**To be completed by the report author**

There are no significant equality implications associated with this project.

**Name:** G Smith **Signature:** By email **Date:** 09/11/16  
**(Report author)**

**Box 13**

**CONSULTATION**

**Officers**

There is a local group of volunteers that frequently undertake duties in the park to improve its condition. The group are extremely supportive of this project.

**Members**

Elected members support this group, and are keen to carry out the improvements illustrated.

**Box 14**

**INFORMATION NOT FOR PUBLICATION:**

It is in the public's interest to be aware of this decision record under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, therefore this decision will be published in full, redacting only signatures.

Name: Amy Haughan Signature: by email Date: 29/11/2016  
Signature of FOI Lead Officer for service area where ODR originates

**Box 15**

Signed:  Date: 29/11/16  
Director/Assistant Director

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Additional Signature of Chief Financial Officer or nominated representative for Capital decisions.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Mayor or relevant Cabinet Member consulted on the above decision (if required).

- This decision can be implemented immediately unless it relates to a Capital Scheme that requires the approval of Cabinet. All Cabinet decisions are subject to call in.
- A record of this decision should be kept by the relevant Director's PA for accountability and published on the Council's website.
- A copy of this decision should be sent to the originating Directorate's FOI Lead Officer to consider 'information not for publication' prior to being published on the Council's Website.
- A copy of the signed decision record should be passed to the Democratic Services Team